Case: 19-10313 Document: 00515353844 Page: 1 Date Filed: 03/20/2020

Case 3:17-cv-03146-M-BT Document 25 Filed 03/20/20 Page 1 of 3 PageID 120

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE **CLERK**

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 **NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130**

March 20, 2020

Ms. Karen S. Mitchell Northern District of Texas, Dallas United States District Court 1100 Commerce Street Earle Cabell Federal Building Room 1452 Dallas, TX 75242

> No. 19-10313 USA v. Derek Hutter USDC No. 3:17-CV-3146

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Melinsa Martingly

By:
Melissa V. Mattingly, Deputy Clerk

cc w/encl:

Mr. George Edward Ashford III

Ms. Leigha Amy Simonton Mr. Michael Ufferman

Case 3:17-cv-03146-M-BT Document 25 Filed 03/20/20 Page 2 of 3 PageID 121

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-10313

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

DEREK HUTTER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas

ORDER:

Derek Hutter, federal prisoner #48987-177, seeks a certificate of appealability (COA) to appeal the denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion challenging his conviction and sentence for enticement of a minor. He contends that his guilty plea was involuntary because his trial counsel did not tell him about the viability of possible defenses. Hutter further argues that his trial counsel was ineffective for not offering mitigating evidence at sentencing and not objecting to the district court's consideration of an improper sentencing factor.

A COA may issue if a movant makes "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003). If the district court denied relief on the merits, a movant must establish that jurists of reason could debate the district court's resolution

Case 3:17-cv-03146-M-BT Document 25 Filed 03/20/20 Page 3 of 3 PageID 122 No. 19-10313

of his constitutional claims or that the issues raised were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000).

Hutter has not made the required showing. Thus, his motion for a COA is DENIED.

On R. Willett

DON R. WILLETT UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

A True Copy

Certified order issued Mar 20, 2020

Jyle W. Cayce

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit